

## Supplemental Materials: Remanent magnetization: signature of Many-Body Localization in quantum antiferromagnets

### DERIVATION OF EQ. (4)

The operator in Eq. (3) in the main text is rewritten as

$$\sum_{\alpha} P_{\alpha} \sigma_j^z P_{\alpha} = \sum_{i_1=\pm 1} \sum_{i_2=\pm 1} \cdots \sum_{i_L=\pm 1} \prod_{k=1}^L P(i_k) \sigma_j^z \prod_{k=1}^L P(i_k), \quad (1)$$

where we introduced the projectors:

$$P(i_k) \equiv \frac{1 + i_k I_k}{2}. \quad (2)$$

To derive Eq. (4) in the main text, we make use of the following operator identities:

$$AB = BA + [A, B], \quad \left[ A, \prod_{k=1}^L B_k \right] = \sum_{k_1=1}^L \left( \prod_{k=1}^{k_1-1} B_k \right) [A, B_{k_1}] \left( \prod_{k=k_1+1}^L B_k \right). \quad (3)$$

For

$$A^{(1)} = \sigma_j^z, \quad B^{(1)} = \prod_{k=1}^L B_k = \prod_{k=1}^L P(i_k), \quad (4)$$

the above identities imply

$$\prod_{k=1}^L P(i_k) \sigma_j^z \prod_{k=1}^L P(i_k) = \prod_{k=1}^L P(i_k) \left( \sigma_j^z + \sum_{k_1=1}^L \left[ \sigma_j^z, \frac{i_{k_1} I_{k_1}}{2} \right] \prod_{k=k_1+1}^L P(i_k) \right). \quad (5)$$

Applying (3) once more with

$$A^{(2)} = \left[ \sigma_j^z, \frac{i_{k_1} I_{k_1}}{2} \right], \quad B^{(2)} = \prod_{k=k_1+1}^L P(i_k) \quad (6)$$

yields

$$\prod_{k=1}^L P(i_k) \left[ \sigma_j^z, \frac{i_{k_1} I_{k_1}}{2} \right] \prod_{k=k_1+1}^L P(i_k) = \prod_{k=1}^L P(i_k) \left( \left[ \sigma_j^z, \frac{i_{k_1} I_{k_1}}{2} \right] + \sum_{k_2=k_1+1}^L \left[ \left[ \sigma_j^z, \frac{i_{k_1} I_{k_1}}{2} \right], \frac{i_{k_2} I_{k_2}}{2} \right] \prod_{k=k_2+1}^L P(i_k) \right). \quad (7)$$

Further iteration with

$$A^{(n)} = \left[ \left[ \left[ \sigma_j^z, \frac{i_{k_1} I_{k_1}}{2} \right], \dots \right], \frac{i_{k_{n-1}} I_{k_{n-1}}}{2} \right], \quad B^{(n)} = \prod_{k=k_{n-1}+1}^L P(i_k) \quad (8)$$

finally leads to

$$\prod_{k=1}^L P(i_k) \sigma_j^z \prod_{k=1}^L P(i_k) = \prod_{k=1}^L P(i_k) \left( \sigma_j^z + \sum_{N=1}^L \sum_{k_N > \dots > k_1} \left[ \left[ \left[ \sigma_j^z, \frac{i_{k_1} I_{k_1}}{2} \right], \dots \right], \frac{i_{k_N} I_{k_N}}{2} \right] \right). \quad (9)$$

The identity (4) is established using that  $i_k \in \{\pm 1\}$  and that

$$\sum_{i_k=\pm 1} P(i_k) = \mathbf{1}. \quad (10)$$

**EXPLICIT EXPRESSION FOR CONSERVED QUANTITIES**

As derived in [27], the formal expression for the terms in the perturbative expansion in Eq. (6) in the main text reads:

$$\delta I_k^{(n)} = i \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\eta\tau} e^{iH_0\tau} \left[ H_1, \delta I_k^{(n-1)} \right] e^{-iH_0\tau} + \Delta I_k^{(n)}, \quad (11)$$

where in this case

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \sum_i (h_i \sigma_i^z - J_z \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z), \\ H_1 &= - \sum_i J_x \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The operator  $\Delta I_k^{(n)}$  in (11) is a suitable polynomial in the  $\sigma_i^z$ , which ensures that  $I_k^2 = \mathbb{1}$  is satisfied at the given order in  $H_1$ : neglecting the  $\Delta I_k^{(n)}$  at any order leads to a modified operator that is still conserved, although it does not have binary spectrum  $\pm 1$ . At first order  $n = 1$ , one finds that  $\Delta I_k^{(1)} = 0$ , while  $\delta I_k^{(1)}$  is given in Eq. (7) in the main text.

We now discuss how the perturbative expansion needs to be modified in presence of resonances, in order to obtain the operators  $\tilde{I}_k, \tilde{I}_{k+1}$  in the main text. Let  $k, k+1$  be the sites giving rise to a first order resonance, i.e., to a small denominator for a particular choice of  $\tau, \rho$  in Eq. (9) in the main text. We aim at finding the set of spin operators that is conserved by the reduced Hamiltonian

$$H^{(k)} = H_0 - J_x \sigma_k^x \sigma_{k+1}^x = H_0 - J_x \left( \tilde{O}_+^{(k)} + \tilde{\Delta}_+^{(k)} \right) \equiv H_0 + H_1^{(k)}, \quad (13)$$

where we introduced  $\tilde{O}_\pm^{(k)} = \sigma_k^+ \sigma_{k+1}^- \pm \sigma_k^- \sigma_{k+1}^+$ , and  $\tilde{\Delta}_\pm^{(k)} = \sigma_k^+ \sigma_{k+1}^+ \pm \sigma_k^- \sigma_{k+1}^-$ . The first-order term in the perturbative expansion,

$$\hat{I}_k = \sigma_k^z + \delta I_k^{(1)} = \sigma_k^z + \sum_{\rho, \tau \pm 1} \left( A_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} O_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} + B_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} \Delta_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} \right), \quad (14)$$

is exactly conserved by (13): This can be deduced from (11) setting  $H_1 \rightarrow H_1^{(k)}$  and  $\Delta I_k^{(n)} = 0 \quad \forall n$ , noticing that  $[H_1^{(k)}, \delta I_k^{(1)}] = 0$  and thus that the perturbative expansion terminates at the first order. However, the operator does not square to the identity: To impose the binarity of the spectrum, it is necessary to introduce an operator  $\Delta I_k^{(2)}$  canceling the terms  $\hat{I}_k^2 - \mathbb{1}$  which are of second order in  $J_x$ . The resulting operator  $\hat{I}_k + \Delta I_k^{(2)}$  will no longer commute with  $H_1^{(k)}$ , giving rise through (11) to a full perturbative series that needs to be resummed to get the  $\tilde{I}_k, \tilde{I}_{k+1}$ .

In the case of the Hamiltonian (13), it is possible to circumvent the resummation by directly guessing the form of the operators  $\tilde{I}_k, \tilde{I}_{k+1}$ . Indeed, the terms in  $\hat{I}_k^2 - \mathbb{1}$  are proportional to:

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_k^+ \sigma_{k+1}^+ + h.c.)^2 &= \frac{1 + \sigma_k^z \sigma_{k+1}^z}{2} \equiv \tilde{J}_+^{(k)}, \\ (\sigma_k^+ \sigma_{k+1}^- + h.c.)^2 &\equiv \frac{1 - \sigma_k^z \sigma_{k+1}^z}{2} = \tilde{K}_+^{(k)}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where we defined

$$\tilde{J}_\pm^{(k)} = P_{1,1}^{(k)} \pm P_{-1,-1}^{(k)}, \quad \tilde{K}_+^{(k)} = P_{1,-1}^{(k)} \pm P_{-1,1}^{(k)}, \quad (16)$$

and

$$P_{\rho,\tau}^{(k)} = \frac{1 + \rho \sigma_k^z}{2} \frac{1 + \tau \sigma_{k+1}^z}{2}. \quad (17)$$

Exactly the same operators as in (15) are generated by the following anticommutators:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sigma_k^z, \tilde{K}_-^{(k)} \right\} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sigma_k^z, \frac{\sigma_k^z - \sigma_{k+1}^z}{2} \right\} = P_{1,-1}^{(k)} + P_{-1,1}^{(k)}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sigma_k^z, \tilde{J}_-^{(k)} \right\} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sigma_k^z, \frac{\sigma_k^z + \sigma_{k+1}^z}{2} \right\} = P_{1,1}^{(k)} + P_{-1,-1}^{(k)}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

This suggests to add to the  $\hat{I}_k$  some operators proportional to  $\tilde{K}_-^{(k)}$  and  $\tilde{J}_-^{(k)}$ : since the latter anticommute with  $\tilde{O}_+^{(k)}$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}_+^{(k)}$ , no additional operator is produced when squaring the sum of  $\hat{I}_k$  with the newly added operators; similarly, no additional operator appears when imposing conservation, given that the commutators  $[\tilde{O}_+^{(k)}, \tilde{K}_-^{(k)}]$  and  $[\tilde{\Delta}_+^{(k)}, \tilde{J}_-^{(k)}]$  are proportional to the commutators  $[\sigma_k^z, \tilde{O}_+^{(k)}]$  and  $[\sigma_k^z, \tilde{\Delta}_+^{(k)}]$ , while all other commutators are zero.

Based on these observations, we introduce:

$$\tilde{I}_k = \sigma_k^z + \sum_{\rho\tau=\pm 1} \left( \tilde{A}_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} O_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} + C_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} K_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} \right) + \sum_{\rho\tau=\pm 1} \left( \tilde{B}_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} \Delta_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} + D_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} J_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} \right), \quad (19)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} &= \frac{1 + \rho \sigma_{k-1}^z}{2} \left[ P_{1,-1}^{(k)} - P_{-1,1}^{(k)} \right] \frac{1 + \tau \sigma_{k+2}^z}{2}, \\ J_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} &= \frac{1 + \rho \sigma_{k-1}^z}{2} \left[ P_{1,1}^{(k)} - P_{-1,-1}^{(k)} \right] \frac{1 + \tau \sigma_{k+2}^z}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and with coefficients that are of infinite order in the resonant coupling  $J_x$ . Imposing  $[\tilde{I}_k, H^{(k)}] = 0$  and collecting the coefficient in front of each operator we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} (h_k - h_{k+1} + J_z(\tau - \rho)) + J_x (1 + C_{\rho\tau}^{(k)}) &= 0 \\ \tilde{B}_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} (h_k + h_{k+1} - J_z(\tau + \rho)) + J_x (1 + D_{\rho\tau}^{(k)}) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

from which Eqs. (9) in the main text are recovered for  $C_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} = 0 = D_{\rho\tau}^{(k)}$ . Using (15) and (18) we obtain that  $\tilde{I}_k^2 = \mathbb{1}$  is satisfied provided

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \tilde{A}_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} \right)^2 + \left( C_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} \right)^2 + 2C_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} &= 0, \\ \left( \tilde{B}_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} \right)^2 + \left( D_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} \right)^2 + 2D_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for each choice of  $\tau, \rho = \pm 1$ . It can be checked that Eqs.(21), (22) admit the solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} &= -\frac{J_x}{\left( [h_k - h_{k+1} + J_z(\tau - \rho)]^2 + J_x^2 \right)^{1/2}}, \\ C_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} &= -1 + \frac{h_k - h_{k+1} + J_z(\tau - \rho)}{\left( [h_k - h_{k+1} + J_z(\tau - \rho)]^2 + J_x^2 \right)^{1/2}}, \\ \tilde{B}_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} &= -\frac{J_x}{\left( [h_k + h_{k+1} - J_z(\tau + \rho)]^2 + J_x^2 \right)^{1/2}}, \\ D_{\rho\tau}^{(k)} &= -1 + \frac{h_k + h_{k+1} - J_z(\tau + \rho)}{\left( [h_k + h_{k+1} - J_z(\tau + \rho)]^2 + J_x^2 \right)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The coefficient  $\tilde{B}_{\rho\tau}^{(k)}$  is the one exploited in the main text. Similar expressions are obtained for the operator  $\tilde{I}_{k+1}$ .

### SCALING OF THE IMBALANCE FOR NON-INTERACTING FERMIONS

For large  $J/h$ - behavior of  $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$  in the fermionic, single-particle case can be understood by setting

$$\phi_\alpha^2(k) = \frac{x_k^\alpha}{\xi} e^{-\frac{|k-r_\alpha|}{\xi}}, \quad (24)$$

where  $r_\alpha$  denotes the localization center of the single particle wavefunction  $\phi_\alpha$ ,  $\xi$  its localization length (we are neglecting its energy dependence), and the  $x_k^\alpha$  are positive random variables of  $O(1)$  that capture the fluctuations of

the squared amplitudes under the exponentially decaying envelope. Partitioning the chain into segments of length  $l = \lfloor \xi \rfloor$  and approximating the  $x_k^\alpha$  as uncorrelated variables we obtain:

$$\hat{\mathcal{I}} \approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \left( \sum_{R=1}^{L/l} (-1)^{Rl} \frac{e^{-|R-R_\alpha|}}{\xi} \sum_{k=(R-1)l}^{Rl} (-1)^k x_k^\alpha \right)^2 \approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \left( \sum_{R=1}^{L/l} (-1)^{Rl} \frac{e^{-|R-R_\alpha|}}{\sqrt{\xi}} \right)^2 \sim \frac{c}{\xi} \sim c \left( \frac{h}{J} \right)^2, \quad (25)$$

where  $R_\alpha$  is the block containing the localization center  $r_\alpha$ , and we have used that in the weak-disorder regime  $\xi \sim (J/h)^2$  [55].

- 
- [27] V. Ros, M. Müller, and A. Scardicchio, Nucl. Phys. B **891**, 420 (2015).  
 [55] L. Molinari, J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **25**, 513 (1992).